BEDNETS TO PREVENT MALARIA: META-ANALYSIS OF INDIAN TRIALS CONFIRMING

RESULTS OF A COCHRANE SYSTEMATIC REVIEW



HANS VAN REMOORTEL¹, EMMY DE BUCK¹, PHILIPPE VANDEKERCKHOVE^{1,2}, SATYA PAUL AGARWAL³

¹BELGIAN RED CROSS-FLANDERS, MECHELEN, BELGIUM ²DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PRIMARY CARE, CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF LEUVEN, LEUVEN, BELGIUM ³INDIAN RED CROSS SOCIETY, NEW DELHI, INDIA

INTRODUCTION & OBJECTIVES

New malaria cases in India are estimated at 24 million per year by the World Health Organization, resulting in a high socio-economic burden¹. A Cochrane systematic review, based on randomized controlled trials in non-Indian countries, showed that insecticide treated bednets are highly effective in reducing morbidity from malaria². As part of the development of evidencebased Indian first aid and prevention guidelines, a cooperation between Belgian Red Cross-Flanders and the Indian Red Cross Society, we aimed to investigate the effectiveness of both insecticide-treated and untreated bednets on malaria in Indian families.

METHODS

A systematic literature review was performed in Medline, Embase and Central.
An Indian seach filter was developed (including all Indian States) to search for Indian studies. ried out and blood smears of



- Inclusion and exclusion criteria:
 - Population: studies done in India with lay people, community health workers.
 - Intervention: studies on the effectiveness of (un)treated bednets. The minimum target impregnation dose of the treated bed nets was 200 mg/m2 permethrin or etofenprox, 30 mg/m2 cyfluthrin, 20 mg/m2 alphacypermethrin or 10 mg/m2 deltamethrin/lambdacyhalothrin.
 - Comparison: no bednets.
 - Malaria outcomes: parasite prevalence (=number of malaria cases (positive blood slide for any parasite) divided by the population under surveillance) was assessed after a door-to-door fortnightly surveillance was car-
- all fever cases were collected (finger prick method, Figure 1).
- Design: observational or experimental studies.
- Language/time window: no restriction.
- The overall effect of using (un) treated bed nets compared to no bednets on malaria (parasite prevalence) was investigated

Figure 1. Active malaria detection via the finger prick method.

by grouping all studies in a meta-analysis (random-effects model) and calculating the pooled risk ratios (RR).

RESULTS

- Box 1 represents the study selection flowchart. Fourteen from the 16 included trials were selected for the meta-analysis (due to availability of data on malaria cases)
- Studies were divided into subgroups according to the Annual Parasite Incidence (API); low endemic area (API<2) versus high endemic area (API≥2) (Figure 2).
- Meta-analysis showed that untreated bednets reduced the risk of malaria by 58% in low endemic areas (pooled RR 0.42 [95% CI; 0.30,0.60]) and by 39% in high endemic areas (pooled RR 0.61 [95% CI; 0.57,0.65]). When using treated bednets, the risk of malaria was further reduced; by 82% (pooled RR 0.18 [95% CI; 0.08,0.42]) and by 65% (pooled R 0.35 [95% CI; 0.26,0.47] in low and high endemic areas, respectively (Box 2).



Low endemic area (API<2) 1. Ansari 2002³ 2. Sreehari 2007⁴ 3. Mittal 2012⁵ 4. Ansari 2003⁶

High endemic area (API≥2)
5. Dev 2011⁷
6. Bhatia 2004⁸
7. Das 1993⁹

• The Cochrane Systematic Review (randomized non-Indian trials, 2004) showed that treated bednets had a protective impact on malaria (average RR 0.87 for stable malaria areas compared to no bednet use).

Box 1: Study selection flowchart for preventive bednet intervention for malaria, identified in Indian studies

(B: level of evidence moderate according to GRADE)

 Records identified through database searching (n=479) Detailed search strategy in Medline, Embase and Central.

 Removing duplicates/triplicates (n=86)

 Title and abstract screening (n=393)

 Records excluded (n=365)

 Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=28)

 Full-text articles excluded (n=12)

 Outcome (n=6)

 8. Sahu 2003¹⁰
 9. Sahu 2008¹¹
 10. Bhatt 2012¹²
 11. Sharma 2006¹³
 12. Sharma 2009¹⁴
 13. Yadav 1998¹⁵
 14. Yadav 2001¹⁶

Figure 2. Classification of the included studies into low endemic versus high endemic area, based on the Annual Parasite Incidence.

Box 2: Meta-analysis with calculation of the pooled effect of treated bed nets on parasite prevalence

	Treated bed nets		No bed nets		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	
2.2.1 low endemic a	rea							
Ansari 2003	2	802	9	510	2.6%	0.14 (0.03, 0.65)	←	
Ansari 2002	3	1350	11	1410	3.3%	0.28 [0.08, 1.02]		
Sreehari 2007	3	2000	67	2000	3.8%	0.04 [0.01, 0.14]	←	
Mittal 2012 Subtotal (95% CI)	6	1381	18	1337	4.9%	0.32 [0.13, 0.81]		
Total events	14	2222	105	5257	14.0%	0.10 [0.00, 0.44]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ^a =	= 0.69; Chi ² = 8	8.67, df =	: 3 (P = 0.0	03); l² =	65%			
Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.55 (P =	0.0004)						
2.2.2 high endemic a	rea							
Dev 2011	4	2100	76	2078	4.5%	0.05 (0.02, 0.14)	←	
Sharma 2009	16	1953	50	1863	7.3%	0.31 [0.17, 0.53]	—	
Sharma 2006	36	506	49	367	8.4%	0.53 (0.35, 0.80)	— —	
Sahu 2003	29	489	82	501	8.4%	0.36 [0.24, 0.54]	— —	
Sahu 2008	27	497	156	590	8.5%	0.21 [0.14, 0.30]	—	
Das 1993	36	368	166	797	8.9%	0.47 [0.33, 0.66]	— —	
Bhatt 2012	87	5316	171	3865	9.4%	0.37 [0.29, 0.48]	- - -	
Yadav 1998	191	1134	438	626	9.9%	0.24 [0.21, 0.28]	+	





CONCLUSIONS

• There is evidence from 16 experimental Indian studies that using (insecticide treated) bednets is an effective intervention to prevent malaria, which is in line with the findings of the Cochrane systematic review, performed outside India.



 The present findings support the current bednet use in the National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme in India¹⁷ and will be included in the Indian first aid and preventive guidelines.

References: ¹ World Malaria Report 2012 by the World Health Organisation; ² Lengeler C. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2004; ³ Ansari et al. Indian J Malariol 2002; ⁴ Sreehari et al. J Vector Borne Dis 2007; ⁵ Mittal et al. J Vector Borne Dis 2012; ⁶ Ansari et al. J Vector Borne Dis 2003; ⁷ Dev et al. Acta Trop 2011; ⁸ Bhatia et al. Soc Sci Med 2004; ⁹ Das et al. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1993; ¹⁰ Sahu et al. Acta Trop 2003; ¹¹ Sahu et al. Indian J Med Res 2008; ¹² Bhatt et al. Malar J 2012; ¹³ Sharma et al. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2006; ¹⁴ Sharma et al. Acta Trop 2009; ¹⁵ Yadav et al. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1998; ¹⁶ Yadav et al. J Med Entomol 2001; ¹⁷ http://www.nhp.gov.in.

Budget and funding: The development of evidence-based Indian first aid and prevention guidelines was financed by Belgian Red Cross-Flanders with co-funding from the Belgian Directorate-General for Development Cooperation (DGD)